|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Research & Enterprise Training Institute (RETI)****Research Degrees Administration****Form RDA8 – Recommendation of the examiners following an oral or alternative examination for the degree of PhD, PhD by Published Work or EdD** | **Item No:*****For RETI use only*** |
| **(This form should be word-processed)** |
| **The examiners should, where possible, complete this form as a joint report on the oral or alternative examination and their recommendation. If an agreed recommendation cannot be established, each examiner should report separately. In such cases, when completing separate reports, each examiner should clearly state in Section 4.4 the substance of the disagreement and, where appropriate, relate this back to comments made in their preliminary report (Form RDA7)** |
| Indicate if this form represents a first submission or a resubmission | First |  | Resubmission |  |
| **Degree examined:** *(indicate, as appropriate)* |
| Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) |  |
| Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) by Published Work |  |
| Professional Doctorate (EdD) |  |
| Higher Doctorate (please contact RETI for supplementary form) |  |
| **1. Examination Details** |
| Date of oral examination |  |
| Method of examination | Face-to-face |  |
| Online (MS Teams) |  |
| Blended |  |
| Is this the method approved on the RDA6a form? | Yes |  | No |  |
| If no, please provide reason(s) below |
| **2. Student Details** |
| First Name |  |
| Family Name |  |
| Banner number |  |
| Faculty |  |
| School |  |
| Collaborating organisation(s) (if any) |  |
| Title of thesis |  |
| **3. Examination Panel Details** |
| External Examiner |  |
| Internal Examiner |  |
| Second External Examiner *(if applicable)* |  |
| Independent Chair |  |
| Others present during the oral examination, e.g. non-examining supervisors, advisers, etc. |  |
| **4. Report of the Examiners** |
| Are you satisfied that the thesis presented is the student’s own work, except where identified by references? | YES |  | NO |  |
| In the case of the student whose research programme was part of a collaborative group project, did the oral examination demonstrate that the student’s own contribution is worthy of the award being sought  | YES |  | NO |  |
| Has the student demonstrated:*(Note: The following questions are based on the QAA, Level 8 higher education qualification descriptors for a ‘Doctoral Degree’)* |
| The creation and interpretation of new knowledge, through original research or other advanced scholarship, of a quality to satisfy peer review, extend the forefront of the discipline, and merit publication? | YES |  | NO |  |
| A systematic acquisition and understanding of a substantial body of knowledge which is at the forefront of an academic discipline or area of professional practice? | YES |  | NO |  |
| The general ability to conceptualise, design and implement a project for the generation of new knowledge, applications or understanding at the forefront of the discipline, and to adjust the project design in the light of unforeseen problems? | YES |  | NO |  |
| A detailed understanding of applicable techniques for research and advanced academic enquiry? | YES |  | NO |  |
| Other comments on the examined thesis and/or oral examination (or on any approved alternative examination) |
|  |
| If the oral examination was conducted online/blended, comments on the conduct of the examination process |
|  |
| **5. Conclusions** |
| 5.1 The Chair of the examination is satisfied that the examination was conducted in accordance with university regulations and procedures  | YES |  | NO |  |
| 5.2 The student has satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of PhD/PhD by Published Work/EdD **OR** |  |
| 5.3 The student has not satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of PhD/PhD by Published Work/EdD, for the reasons given below |  |
|  |
| 5.4 In cases where examiners are not in agreement concerning their conclusions and resulting recommendations, and separate reports are being submitted, please detail below the substance of the disagreement and, where appropriate, relate this back to comments made in their preliminary report (RDA7)  |
| *Name of examiner:* |
| **6. Recommendation of the Examiners for PhD and EdD** *(Refer to Section F1.6 and F1.7 of the Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Research Awards)*Notes:1. For a first submission for a Doctoral award, all options set out below are available to the examiners.
2. In the case of a resubmission for PhD, options 6.1, 6.2, 6.5 and 6.7 are available.
3. In the case of a resubmission for EdD, options 6.1, 6.2 and 6.6 are available.
 |
| 6.1 The degree being sought is awarded |  |
| 6.2 The degree is conditionally awarded subject to minor amendments and corrections being made to the thesis to the satisfaction of (indicate below) |
| The internal examiner only |  |
| The internal and external examiner |  |
| The external examiner only |  |
| 6.3 The degree is conditionally awarded subject to major amendments and corrections being made to the thesis to the satisfaction of the examiners |  |
| 6.4 The student is permitted to resubmit for the degree being sought and be re-examined in accordance with one of the following**:** |
|  (i) The thesis is considered satisfactory, but the student is required to undergo a further oral or other approved form of examination  *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
|  (ii) The thesis must be revised and, if considered satisfactory by the examiners, the student will be exempt from further examination, oral or otherwise *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
|  (iii) The thesis must be revised, and the student must undergo a further oral or other approved form of examination *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| 6.5 In the case of a PhD examination, the degree of MPhil is awarded subject to the presentation of the thesis amended to the satisfaction of the examiners *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| 6.6 In the case of an EdD examination, the student is awarded a Masters Degree (MEdRes) *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| 6.7 The thesis does not satisfy the criteria for a postgraduate research award; the degree is not awarded with no opportunity for re-examination *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| **7. Recommendation of the Examiners for PhD by Published Work** *(Refer to Sections F1.6.1 and F2 of the Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Research Awards)*Notes:In the case of a PhD by Published Work the student may be re-examined once subject to the satisfactory completion of additional work, but MPhil may not be awarded(i) For a first submission, options 7.1 and 7.2 set out below are available to the examiners.(ii) For a resubmission, options 7.1 or 7.3 and 7.4 are available.(iii) For a further oral examination, options 7.1 and 7.4 are available. |
| 7.1 The degree of PhD by Published Work is awarded |  |
| 7.2 The student is referred and is permitted to resubmit for the degree of PhD by Published Work *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| 7.3 Recommendation delayed pending the arrangement of a further oral examination *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| 7.4 The thesis does not satisfy the criteria for a postgraduate research award; the degree is not awarded with no opportunity for resubmission *(Give details in Section 5.3 above)* |  |
| **8. Signatures**  |
| External Examiner | Signature: | Date |  |
| Name: |
| Internal Examiner | Signature: | Date |  |
| Name: |
| Second External Examiner *(if applicable)* | Signature: | Date |  |
| Name: |
| Independent Chair | Signature: | Date |  |
| Name: |
| **9. Recommendation by the Faculty Research Degrees Committee** |
| Award | PhD |  | PhD by Published Work |  |
| EdD\* |  | No award |  |
| Other (Please specify, e.g. resubmission) |
|  |
| Date: |  |
| \*In the case of EdD – Recommended by FRDCEHHS and forwarded to Faculty Professional Doctorates Progression Award Board following consideration of accrual of appropriate overall academic credits commensurate with this award | Date |  |
| **Note:****This form should be sent to the Research & Enterprise Training Institute by no later than ten working days after the date of the oral examination.** |

**Data Protection Statement**

The Research & Enterprise Training Institute (RETI) and the Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC) have responsibility within the university for ensuring academic standards are maintained in accordance with the Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Research Awards, approving examination arrangements and for ratifying postgraduate research awards. The processing of these forms and the information contained within them by RETI and FRDC is, therefore, a core task of the university and by collecting and processing all the information you are providing on this form, the university is able to ensure compliance with academic standards in relation to examinations.

This form and all the information provided by you will not be shared with anybody or any organisation external to the university without the consent of the individual(s) named within the form and only where it is deemed in the interests of the individual(s) to do so (e.g. in support of an OIA complaint/appeal). This form and all the information provided by you will be shared internally with RETI and Faculty administrative staff who are responsible for the administration of postgraduate research degree examinations, FRDC members who are responsible for monitoring examination standards and ratifying research degree awards and any member of staff involved in an academic appeal or complaint that may arise to enable them to carry out their duties, as outlined above, on behalf of the university. Once the examination process has been completed and a decision ratified by FRDC, this form and the information contained within will also be shared with the student.

An electronic copy of this form will be held securely by RETI in accordance with the GRE Retention Schedule, after which it will be confidentially destroyed.

If you have any queries in relation to this form, please contact the Training and Research Programmes Officer for your Faculty using the appropriate email address below:

Greenwich Business School – reti-pgrbus@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Education, Health and Human Sciences – reti-pgreh@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Engineering and Science – reti-pgres@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Liberal Arts and Sciences – reti-pgrlas@gre.ac.uk