|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Research Degrees Administration (RETI)****Form RDA10 – Recommendation of the examiners following an oral or alternative examination for the Project/Dissertation element of the degree of Masters (MRes) by Research** | **Item No:*****For RETI use only*** |
| **(This form should be word-processed)** |
| **The examiners should, where possible, complete this form as a joint report on the oral or alternative examination and their recommendation. If an agreed report cannot be submitted, each examiner should report separately** |
| **Degree Examined:** *(indicate, as appropriate)* |
| Masters by Research (MRes) |  |
| **1. Examination Details** |
| Date of oral examination |  |
| Method of examination | Face-to-face |  |
| Online (MS Teams) |  |
| Blended |  |
| Is this the method approved on the RDA6a form? | Yes |  | No |  |
| If no, please provide reason(s) below |
| **2. Student Details** |
| First Name |  |
| Family Name |  |
| Banner number |  |
| Faculty |  |
| School |  |
| Title of thesis |  |
| **3. Examination Panel Details** |
| External Examiner |  |
| Internal Examiner |  |
| Second External Examiner *(if applicable)* |  |
| Independent Chair |  |
| Others present during the oral examination, e.g. non-examining supervisors, advisers, etc.: |  |
| **4. Comments on the examined thesis and oral examination** |
|  |
| **5. Key Skills** |
| Through a combination of the thesis and oral examination is there evidence that the student has acquired the following key skills at a level commensurate with the award? |
|  | YES | NO |
| Investigative/enquiry skills |  |  |
| Analytical skills |  |  |
| Evaluative skills |  |  |
| Reflective skills |  |  |
| Communication skills |  |  |
| **6. Conclusions** |
| 6.1 The Chair of the examination is satisfied that the examination has been conducted according to university regulations and procedures | YES |  | NO |  |
| 6.2 The student has satisfied the examiners as to the Project/ Dissertation element of the degree of Masters by Research (MRes)  | **Mark** |
| Pass (50% or higher) |  % |
| **OR** |
| The student has not satisfied the examiners as to the Project/Dissertation element of the degree of Masters by Research (MRes), for the reasons given below |
| Fail - resubmit same project (40 - 49%)Fail – submit new project (0-39%)Fail - The student has not satisfied the examiners as to the Project/Dissertation element of the degree of Masters by Research (MRes) - resubmission only. | **Mark** |
| % |
| % |
| % |

|  |
| --- |
| Mark justification  |
|  |
| **7. Signatures**  |
| External Examiner | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| Internal Examiner | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| Second External Examiner *(if applicable)* | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| Independent Chair | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| **Note:** **Following completion of Form RDA10 to this point, it should be forwarded to the appropriate Faculty Quality Officer for subsequent completion and consideration at the relevant Progression and Award Board.** |
| **8. Decision of Progression and Award Board** |
| **Marks Profile** |
| Project / Dissertation  | (120 credits) |  % |

|  |
| --- |
| **Taught Course Elements** |
| 1. Research Methodology/Research Methods  | ( credits) |  % |
| 2. | ( credits) |  % |
| 3.  | ( credits) |  % |
| 4.  | ( credits) |  % |
| **Total** (no less that 60 credits) | **( credits)** |  |
| **Decision by Progression and Award Board** | **Pass / Fail / Other***(indicate, as appropriate)* |
| **9. Signatures** |
| I confirm the above decision was reached by the Programme and Award Board |
| Chair of the Progression and Award Board | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| External Examiner of Progression and Award Board | Name: | Date |  |
| Signature: |
| **Note:** **On completion of the RDA10 form, the Faculty Quality Officer should forward a copy to the Research & Enterprise Training Institute, for noting by the relevant Faculty Research Degrees Committee.** |

**Data Protection Statement**

The Research & Enterprise Training Institute (RETI) and the Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC) have responsibility within the university for ensuring academic standards are maintained in accordance with the Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Research Awards, approving examination arrangements and for ratifying postgraduate research awards. The processing of these forms and the information contained within them by RETI and FRDC is, therefore, a core task of the university and by collecting and processing all the information you are providing on this form, the university is able to ensure compliance with academic standards in relation to examinations.

This form and all the information provided by you will not be shared with anybody or any organisation external to the university without the consent of the individual(s) named within the form and only where it is deemed in the interests of the individual(s) to do so (e.g. in support of an OIA complaint/appeal). This form and all the information provided by you will be shared internally with RETI and Faculty administrative staff who are responsible for the administration of postgraduate research degree examinations, FRDC members who are responsible for monitoring examination standards and ratifying research degree awards and any member of staff involved in an academic appeal or complaint that may arise to enable them to carry out their duties, as outlined above, on behalf of the university.

An electronic copy of this form will be held securely by RETI in accordance with the GRE Retention Schedule, after which it will be confidentially destroyed.

If you have any queries in relation to this form, please contact the Training and Research Programmes Officer for your Faculty using the appropriate email address below:

Greenwich Business School – reti-pgrbus@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Education and Health – reti-pgreh@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Engineering and Science – reti-pgres@gre.ac.uk

Faculty of Liberal Arts and Sciences – reti-pgrlas@gre.ac.uk